Conference for Food Protection Executive Board Meeting Committee Report

COMMITTEE NAME: Certification of Food Safety Regulation Professionals

COUNCIL (I, II, or III): ||

DATE OF REPORT: March 1, 2011

SUBMITTED BY: Susan Kendrick and Ron Grimes

COMMITTEE'S REQUESTED ACTION FOR BOARD: N/A

PROGRESS REPORT / COMMITTEE ACTIVITIES WITH ACTIVITY DATES:

1. Collaborate with the FDA Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition and the FDA Division of Human Resource Development to:

• Review all initiatives: existing, new or under development; involving the training, evaluation and/or certification of Food Safety Inspection Officers. This collaborative working relationship will ensure the sharing of information so as not to create any unnecessary redundancies in the creation of work product or assignment of tasks/responsibilities.

• Review and revise, as needed, Standard 2 classroom curriculum, time frame for completion of Steps 1 through 4 for new hires or staff newly assigned to the regulatory retail food protection program.

Determine if the CFP Field Training Manual and forms have completely addressed all

recommendations received as part of the 2007 Assessment of Training Needs (ATN) pilot project.

2. Eliminate the potential redundancy of multiple verification tools (FDA Retail Food Level I Performance Audit and FDA Procedures for Standardization and Certification of Retail Food Inspection / Training Officers) utilized by FDA programs, work in collaboration with FDA's Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition, FDA's National Retail Food Team and the FDA's Division of Human Resource Development to:

• Conduct a pilot project over the next year using the FDA Retail Food Level I Performance Audit with a limited and selected number of jurisdictions. The FDA Performance Audit will be piloted for use during the two joint inspections conducted as part of the quality assurance component of Standard 4 - Uniform Inspection Program. An outline of the pilot project objectives, protocol, and projected timeline is included as Attachment A with this Issue. The CFP CFSRP work group will submit a report to the 2012 Biennial Meeting that documents the result of the pilot project and any recommendations for the use of verification tools as part of the FDA Program Standards; and, It is the policy of the Conference for Food Protection to not accept Issues that would endorse a brand name or a commercial proprietary process.

• Conduct a joint assessment of FDA Standardization Procedures and FDA Performance Audit documents to determine if both verification tools are equally viable with distinct purposes and outcomes; and,

• Explore the feasibility of merging these existing verification tool documents and provide a plan for consolidation of such; and,

• Upon determination, assess the placement and administration of final verification tool(s) within the FDA Program Standards as appropriate, or separately as appropriate; and,

• With input and guidance from the CFSRP Work Group, FDA will determine if modifications to their draft FDA Performance FDA Retail Food Level I Performance Audit and/or Standardization documents are

needed. Any modifications that would include changes to the Program Standards will be submitted as Issues by the CFP CFSRP Work Group to the 2012 Biennial Meeting.

3. Collaborate with FDA, other federal agencies, professional and industry associations to research what criteria is currently being used to assess the education and training qualifications of independent third party auditors that have been contracted to conduct institutional foodservice, restaurant, and retail food compliance inspections in lieu of a State/local/tribal regulatory retail food program. The re-created Work Group is to provide a report to the 2012 Biennial Meeting that:

• Assesses the number of jurisdictions and geographic areas where retail food compliance Inspections are conducted by independent third party auditors in lieu of a regulatory compliance program;

• Delineates the reasons jurisdictions have moved to a third party auditor inspection compliance program;

• Summarizes criteria used to select third party auditors for inspection compliance oversight responsibilities including, but not limited to, education and training qualifications;

• Assesses and determines appropriate training and standardization processes/protocols for third party auditors, and

• Identifies any agencies/organizations/working groups currently addressing education and training standards for third party auditors conducting retail food compliance inspections.

Based on the above research, the work group will provide a recommendation to the Conference as to what actions/initiatives, if any, need to be undertaken to provide a national structure for ensuring that third party auditors possess the necessary knowledge, skills, and abilities to conduct retail food program compliance inspections.

4. Evaluate and determine the best approaches to promoting awareness and implementation of the national training model contained in the CFP Field Training Manual and forms, Appendix B-2, Standard 2. The Work Group will:

• Research the use of websites, list serves, newsletters, testimonials, presentations, and training workshops, etc.

• Assess opportunities for enhancing the electronic versions of the CFP Field Training Manual and forms to minimize paperwork.

5. Report back to the 2012 Biennial Meeting its findings regarding the above charges.